Crop Update

The 2013 Oklahoma cotton crop is off to a tough start in many places. The bad news is that for the month of May, Altus normal rainfall is 4.81 inches, but we received only 1.29 inches in 2013. Thus far in June we have obtained only 1.35 inches of precipitation, compared to the normal of 4.32. Rainfall has generally been considerably better east of Altus. Dryland areas have encountered spotty thunderstorms which have resulted in a mosaic of variable stands from excellent to poor across the southwestern corner of the state. Rainfall at Altus remains subpar with only 8.2 inches of rainfall received thus far in 2013, compared to the 30-year normal of over 15 inches. Higher rainfall amounts have been noted closer to the Oklahoma City area. May and June are critical months for stand establishment. The struggles of our growers with respect to stand establishment in many areas have been significant. In addition, Altus has experienced 6 days of 100 or greater - 4 in May, and 5 thus far in June. During May, Altus also experienced 6 days in May and 3 days in June (through the 24th) with wind gusts over 40 mph. A total of 20 days in May and 16 days in June (through the 24th) brought wind gusts greater than 30 mph. Planter adjustment for many growers during that period was difficult at best. Seedling disease issues in most areas have not been noted in the 2013 crop. From May 1 thru June 24, cotton DD60 heat unit accumulation totaled 906, about 19% above normal for that time period. Lugert-Altus Reservoir is about 15% of capacity. Even though we have had some rainfall in the watershed, there has not been enough inflow to improve the situation. June is an important runoff month and we have thus far not observed much inflow.

Groundwater-sourced irrigated cotton generally has good stands. The crop is somewhat later than usual with many of these acres planted from mid- to late May because of the cool conditions which lingered well into the first week of May. Some earlier planted cotton is up to the 8-9 leaf stage, with some pinhead squares being found. Later May planted cotton is typically in the 5-7 leaf stage. According to the June 17, 2013 National Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS) report, Oklahoma had planted 69% of its acreage, compared to a 5-year (2008-2012) average of about 90%. In this report, the Oklahoma crop condition was rated as 11 percent very poor or poor, with 39 percent fair, and 50 percent good.
Plant Growth Regulators

With the excellent cotton in some areas where adequate moisture has been encountered, it will be important to be on point concerning the use of plant growth regulators. Mepiquat-based (such as Pix Plus, Mepex, Mepichlor, Mepiquat Chloride, Mepex GinOut, Stance, and others) plant growth regulators (PGRs) have been around for many years. Companies are constantly enhancing formulations, but the main active ingredient in nearly all of these products is mepiquat chloride.

Mepiquat chloride (MC) reduces production of gibberellic acid in plant cells that in turn reduces cell expansion, ultimately resulting in shorter internode length. MC will not help the plants compensate for earlier weather or disease damage. It does not increase growth rate, it essentially reduces plant size by reducing cellular expansion. It may, under good growing conditions, increase fruit retention, control growth and promote earliness. **MC should not be applied if crop is under any stresses including moisture; weather; severe spider mite, insect, or nematode damage; disease stress; herbicide injury including 2,4-D damage due to drift or from tank contamination; or fertility stress.**

Results from replicated testing indicates that a 5 to 20% reduction in plant height (compared to the control) can be obtained from 16 oz of 4.2% a.i. MC material applied in up to 4 sequential 4-oz/acre applications starting at match head square (MHS) and ending at early bloom. It is generally possible to reduce about one node from the growth of the main stem, which can result in about 3-5 days earlier cutout. **Low rate multiple applications beginning at MHS have generally provided more growth control than later higher rate applications made at first bloom or later.** Results have shown that statistically significant increases in yields are generally not obtained, but excellent growth control is provided. Many times we don't see a lot of differences in performance of these products when it comes to growth control.

Available Products

Mepiquat based products have been around for many years. Several PGRs based on the same active ingredient are now available. Refer to the product labels or contact Extension personnel or company representatives or to ensure you understand the correct use of these products.

Mepex, Mepichlor, Mepiquat Chloride and other generics
4.2% active ingredient (a.i.)/gallon or 0.35 lb/gallon a.i.

Mepex Gin Out
4.2% a.i./gallon or 0.35 lb/gallon a.i. with 0.0025% Kinetin (a cytokinin). Cytokinins are plant hormones that promote cell division and growth and delay the senescence of leaves. This product has use guidelines similar to other MC materials.
Pentia
Has a different molecular structure than MC (mepiquat pentaborate).
9.6% a.i./gallon or 0.82 lb/gallon a.i. Typically Pentia has similar use rates when compared to 4.2% MC products.

Stance
Bayer CropScience’s Stance product is an MC based PGR. It is a 4 to 1 ratio of MC and cyclanilide (0.736 lbs/gallon MC plus 0.184 lbs/gallon cyclanilide). Cyclanilide is an auxin synthesis and transport inhibitor. Auxins are generally referred to as compounds which have the capacity to induce cell elongation. The inhibition of auxins could reduce cell elongation and inhibit growth. **Producers should be aware that the mepiquat chloride concentration in Stance is about twice as high as most of the other materials we have become accustomed to applying. THEREFORE THERE IS A CORRESPONDING REDUCED RATE.**

**What to Expect From Application**

Consistent yield increases have not been observed from any of the MC materials we have investigated. A good boll load will normally help control plant growth. Fields with poor early-season fruit retention, excellent soil moisture, and high nitrogen fertility status may be candidates for poor vegetative/fruiting balance and should be watched carefully. Growers who have planted varieties with vigorous growth potential and have fields with excellent growing conditions may need to consider PGR applications. For brush roll header stripper harvest, 28-32 inch tall plants optimize stripper-harvesting efficiency. If possible, target a maximum plant size of about 32 inches for varieties under high input irrigation (sub-surface drip or high capacity pivots). If plants get larger than 36 inches, harvest efficiency and productivity drop significantly. For spindle picker harvesters, larger plant size for high yielding cotton is not as much of a harvesting consideration.

**Pickers can handle higher yielding, taller plants with much greater ease than stripper harvesters, especially when the stalks are still alive (or “green”). However, if weather constraints at harvest time delay harvesting after freezing weather, the large brittle plants can still result in picker harvesting difficulties.**

**Application Rates and Production Environment**

Determination of application rates is generally more “art” than “science” for these products. Applications should begin when 50% of the plants have one or more matchhead squares (see specific product label for more information). It is best to get a handle on excessive growth potential early if conditions favor excessive growth for an extended period of time. Herein lies an important dilemma: It is unknown at that time as to how weather will affect the crop in July and on into early August. Will we get 100+ degree temperatures, southwest winds at 30 mph at 10% relative humidity? If so, those conditions will limit plant growth in many fields with low irrigation capacity. Watch high growth potential varieties and fruit retention. If a high growth potential variety has been planted and has encountered low fruit retention, then MC rate should be increased, especially under high water, fertility, and good growth conditions. One should target
applications to fields with high growth potential. Some newer varieties may need aggressive management under high irrigation capacity and/or if heavy rainfall conditions are encountered. The situation that has arisen due to the release and availability of new genetics is challenging. Visit with your seed company representative to determine which new varieties should be watched closely for MC needs under field-specific conditions. Sequential applications can be adjusted to meet subsequent crop conditions and growth potential. For more information concerning PGR use, use the link below.

Click here for Cotton Growth Regulators – Producer Handout
This publication includes a list of newer varieties, their growth habits, and potential PGR management concerns.

Plant Monitoring

A considerable amount of cotton is beginning to square and normally it takes about 21 days for a pinhead square to develop into a bloom. Retaining early fruit is an important component of managing for earliness. During the pre-bloom period, we like to see at least 75-85% square retention. Hopefully well maintained fields will retain nearly 100% of pre-bloom squares. Monitoring fruiting is an important management consideration. First position fruit is very quickly counted, and is generally adequate for “getting a handle on the crop” (see Figure 1). It will be important to check fields for nodes above white flower (NAWF) at early bloom to assess the yield potential and vigor at that time. At early bloom, up to 80% of the harvestable crop will be on the plant in the form of squares and blooms. We like to see at least 85% square retention going into the first week of bloom. Plant mapping can be used to help monitor the progress of the crop and determine some important crop factors.

Important plant mapping data at early bloom are:

1. Total 1st position squares present and missing: (retained squares / total square sites = % square retention). Square retention goal is 75 - 85% 14 days after early bloom.

2. Total 1st position bolls present and missing: (retained bolls / total boll sites = % boll retention)

3. Nodes above white flower (NAWF). To determine NAWF see Figure 2.

Nodes above white flower at first bloom gives an indication of crop vigor and yield potential. Typically, NAWF should be high at first bloom and then decrease as the boll load ties down the plant, and mainstem node production rate slows or ceases. Greater than 8 NAWF could be considered excellent, 6-7 – reduced yield potential possible unless adequate irrigation is quickly initiated or rainfall is obtained, 4-5 or less - cutout imminent on more determinate varieties. Many fields that are stressed for moisture may have a short bloom period due to few NAWF at early bloom, unless timely rainfall or
irrigation is obtained. It will be important to track NAWF averages weekly for each field, as key management decisions later in the season can be assisted if the hard cutout date is known.

Figure 1. Early bloom plant mapping using first position fruiting sites.
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Figure 2. Nodes above white flower at early to mid-bloom.
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NAWF at First Bloom Criteria:
8 or more, good to excellent yield potential
6-7, reduced yield potential possible unless adequate irrigation is quickly initiated or rainfall obtained
4-5 or less, cutout probable
Nitrogen Fertility

A one-bale per acre cotton crop will remove about 45 lb of actual N per acre, but due to inefficiencies in uptake and in the soil, about 50 lb N/acre are actually required. Recently, the OSU recommendations have been reduced from 60 lb N per bale of yield goal to to 50 lb N per bale. For a copy of the OSU Fact Sheet where this is discussed and justified, use the link below.

Click here for Cotton Yield Goal – Nitrogen Rate Recommendation PSS2158

It is important to not over fertilize with N. This is due to the fact that it makes late cotton more difficult to manage on the back side of the season and may complicate earliness and harvest aid performance. Some late-season insect problems, such as aphids, can be aggravated by high N status plants, and incidence of Verticillium wilt may be increased. There is good evidence that excessive N in general can also result in delayed maturity with corresponding decreases in maturity of the fiber (micronaire). I seriously doubt that any high capacity irrigated field really needs more than about 175 total lbs N/acre for yields up to four bales/acre. That amount would also include any preplant residual nitrate-N to the 24 inch depth as well as from irrigation water. If irrigation water contains 10 ppm nitrate-N and 12 acre-inches are applied, this will provide 27 lbs N/acre to the crop during irrigation. Producers with alluvial aquifers such as the high nitrate Tillman Terrace should have their irrigation water tested and adjust fertilizer N rates accordingly. For a handout on the amount of N supplied by various irrigation amounts and water nitrate-N concentrations, use the following link.

Click here for Nitrogen Amount in Irrigation Water

The amount of organic residue of the previous crop is also important and will potentially adversely affect nitrogen availability. In no-till fields with a large amount of crop residue the N rate should be increased by 20 to 30 lbs of N per acre when fertilizer is surface applied. This will compensate for the N tied up in the residue due to immobilization. For those producers who have dryland cotton with optimism for good yield potential, fertilization should be performed soon. One way to accomplish this is to sidedress urea-ammonium nitrate (UAN - fluid 32-0-0) fertilizers as early as practical (but prior to bloom), and take care to minimize root pruning during knife application about 4-5 inches deep about 8 inches or so off to the side of the row. Applications could also be made in the furrow, but it is important to recognize that crop rooting will have to extend quite a
ways toward the furrow for uptake. If 32-0-0 is dribbled in the furrow, make sure to keep the fertilizer off the young plants, as fertilizer burn damage can be expected. Solid urea (46-0-0) can be broadcast applied. Rainfall or irrigation will be required to provide activation of any fertilizer application. If no rainfall occurs, no fertilizer uptake can be expected.

Fertigation of 32-0-0 is a practical application method especially in center pivot and subsurface drip irrigated fields. This results in lower application cost. If a pivot rigged with spray nozzles has marginal water quality and extremely hot, dry conditions are encountered, then some salt burn may be encountered on foliage. To obtain maximum utilization of applied N, the total amount of N should probably be injected between first square and peak bloom. This type of N management fertigation scenario has been used and validated for several years at the Texas A&M System research facilities at Lamesa AG-CARES and Halfway Helms Farm using alternate furrow LEPA irrigation. Figure 3 shows a typical N uptake curve for cotton and corresponding crop development stages. Suggestions for applications of approximate percentages of total N are also shown.

**Figure 3. N fertigation strategy.**

A knifing rig fitted with coulters would be a good way to accomplish N fertilization in fields with center pivots if fertigation injectors and tanks are not available. Apply the fertilizer to the side of the bed for fields with center pivots. For producers who are not injecting N fertilizer into their sub-surface drip irrigation systems, place the coulters to the side of the bed in the furrow with the drip tape, being extremely careful not to damage the tape. Since most drip tape has been placed 10-14 inches or so deep, placement of N fertilizer 4-5 inches deep should suffice.
Many producers may be tempted to cut fertilizer use by a certain percent or to use a gallon per acre of this or gallon per acre of that to replace a sound fertilizer program. Benefits from low rates of foliar fertilizers are questionable unless there is indeed a micronutrient deficiency and the product applied contains the deficient element. The cotton plant has a physiological need for nutrients. These nutrients have to come from somewhere if good to excellent yields are to be expected. If one does the math concerning what some of the "gallon per acre" products can supply, then it is fairly easy to determine that these products will not meet the needs of the crop. And they could be very expensive when comparing the "program price" with how many pounds of N the same money could buy using conventional fertilizers. If good to excellent yields are obtained after cutting back on a recommended fertilizer management program, then the producer is actually "writing checks on the checking account" in the soil. If no deposits are made over time, then a shortage of fertility will occur and yields will be adversely affected.
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**Early Season Pests – Fleahoppers and Lygus**

Cotton Fleahoppers and Lygus are the next pests to start monitoring during the fruiting stage of the cotton crop (squaring to bloom). This year the crop is developing in some areas exceedingly well whereas a few fields seem to be “slow”. Several factors are occurring in these problem fields but hopefully the recent rainfall and normal temperatures will solve the problems. Most scouting program fields indicated that thrips are no longer an issue because of growth stage (5th true leaf). Conversations with chemical distributors and consultants have indicated that no problems are generally occurring. However, due to the lateness of many dryland plantings, these fields should be watched. As the crop reaches the squaring stage, the next pest to be concerned about is the cotton fleahopper.
Since the introduction of Bt cotton and boll weevil eradication the cotton fleahopper has become the number one pest in Oklahoma. The cotton fleahopper usually feeds on young succulent weeds such as croton, goatweed, and horsenettle in early spring. These weeds also provide an overwintering site for eggs. As the weeds mature, adults migrate to cotton which is beginning to develop pinhead squares. Fleahoppers insert their sucking mouthparts into the small squares. These damaged squares later turn brown and are shed from the plant.

Photos courtesy Dr. David Kerns, LSU AgCenter, formerly Texas A&M AgriLife Extension, Lubbock.
In addition to squares, the cotton fleahopper will also feed on other parts of the plant. If heavy infestations exist, new growth will be abnormal and whip-like in appearance. All stages of the life cycle will feed on the plant as long as it remains succulent. As cotton matures, these insects migrate to weeds or other host crops. In southwest Oklahoma, the highest population typically occurs in early August, fortunately this is not considered a problem late in the season.

The life cycle begins with the female placing her eggs into the plant tissue by means of an ovipositor. The eggs hatch in approximately 1 week, and small nymphs (which are similar to the adults, except for being wingless) undergo five molts before reaching the adult stage. Egg to adult takes approximately 3 weeks with six to eight generations per year. The cotton fleahopper adults are approximately one-eighth inch long, winged, and pale green in color. They are covered with small black spots and have four characteristic black spots near the wing tip. The nymphs are about one-twenty-fifth of an inch long, wingless, and pale green in color.

Numerous chemicals are registered for control of fleahoppers. In an ideal situation, fleahoppers should be controlled only when thresholds are exceeded in order to preserve beneficial insects since these will help control later occurring pests. Unless the cotton is extremely late, after July 25, control of cotton fleahoppers generally is not economical.

Spray decisions should be based on the squaring rate and level of cotton fleahopper infestations. Usually when cotton fleahoppers (adults and nymphs) reach or exceed 30 per 100 terminals and squaring rates begin to decline, treatment is justified. However, if cotton fleahopper numbers build slowly, fields can tolerate higher numbers before a reduction in squaring rate will occur. In most cases, fields will no longer be vulnerable to cotton fleahoppers once they begin to bloom.

Chemical control of cotton fleahoppers is a fairly easy to accomplish and several products provide good control. However certain chemicals may not be advantageous. Care must be taken to preserve beneficial insects that will help in controlling cotton aphids and spider mites. Flaring of these pests can be avoided by using products that are “easier” on beneficial insects.

The list of chemicals that control cotton fleahoppers includes Vydate, Orthene, Bidrin, Intruder, Centric, Trimax Pro, Carbine, Lorsban, Steward, Lannate, Dimethoate, and various pyrethroids. Bidrin has a supplemental label allowing its use in cotton from emergence to first bloom in Texas, Oklahoma and New Mexico, but you can’t apply more than 3.2 oz/ac during this period. According to research conducted by Texas A&M AgriLife Extension at Lubbock, products least likely to flare secondary pests include Carbine, Bidrin, Steward and low rates of Orthene. Other insecticides such as Intruder, Centric and Trimax Pro won’t flare aphids and are probably fine to use as well, but have been implicated in flaring mites. Pyrethroids are typically not recommended for fleahopper control because they tend to be very disruptive and may flare aphids and bollworms in non-Bt cotton.
Lygus

Lygus although far less numerous than cotton fleahoppers are a growing concern of late due to less chemical applications because of transgenic Bt cotton and boll weevil eradication. Damaging infestations in Oklahoma have not yet been noted by Extension personnel.

Lygus or plant bugs are small insects (0.25 inch long and 0.1 inch wide) and flattened on their back. They vary in color from pale green to yellowish brown with reddish brown to black markings, and have a conspicuous triangle in the center of the back.

Texas A&M AgriLife Research and Extension personnel have identified three species of lygus that are predominate in cotton in this region: the western tarnished plant bug, the tarnished plant bug and the pale legume plant bug. It is normally not necessary to distinguish between these species in making management decisions.

Photos courtesy of UC Davis

Plant bugs feeds on many alternate hosts such as alfalfa and other legumes, butterweed, fleabane, goldenrod, aster, and dog fennel. When the weed host becomes unsatisfactory for feeding purposes, plant bugs usually migrate to cotton fields. The average time to complete the life cycle is 50 days in summer. Plant bugs can generate four to seven generations in one season.

Development time of each stage varies with temperature. Under normal temperatures this is about 7 days for eggs, 7 days for small nymphs (instars 1–3), and 7 days for large nymphs (instars 4 and 5).

Plant bugs feed by inserting mouthparts into terminals, squares, and other tissues, and by sucking the juices out. Injured squares usually turn dark and drop off, while damaged bolls may develop abnormally.

Control of Lygus, although rare in Oklahoma, must be carefully considered because of the destruction of beneficial insects that could cause outbreaks of other pests especially cotton aphids. Texas A&M AgriLife Extension at Lubbock has provided a threshold table.
If one encounters a lygus population above economic thresholds the same precautions should be considered as those for cotton fleahopper control. Also, damage occurring with the presence of lygus does not necessarily mean chemical control is warranted. Based on previous research conducted in the Texas High Plains by Dr. David Kerns, Orthene, Vydate, Carbine, and pyrethroids could be considered. He suggested not to use pyrethroids if aphids are present because of the threat of flareup. Orthene at 0.75-1.0 lb/acre will provide excellent control. If aphids or mites are present Carbine may be used since it can provide effective control and is easy on most beneficials. Vydate at 13-17 oz/acre has also performed well in his trials.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Plant stage</th>
<th>Insects</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beckham Damron Race</td>
<td>6&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; True Leaf</td>
<td>None Detected</td>
<td>Growth rate Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caddo OVT</td>
<td>6&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; - 7&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; True Leaf</td>
<td>None Detected</td>
<td>Growth rate Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Custer Schantz Innovation First planting</td>
<td>4&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; True Leaf</td>
<td>&lt; 1 Thrips per plant</td>
<td>Growth rate Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Custer Schantz Innovation Second planting</td>
<td>2&lt;sup&gt;nd&lt;/sup&gt; True Leaf</td>
<td>&lt; 1 Thrips per plant</td>
<td>Growth rate Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Custer Schantz CI Enhanced Variety</td>
<td>6&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; True Leaf</td>
<td>None Detected</td>
<td>Growth rate Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Custer Schantz CAP</td>
<td>Cotyledon</td>
<td>&lt; 1 Thrips per plant</td>
<td>Growth rate Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harmon Cox CI Enhanced Variety</td>
<td>6&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; True Leaf</td>
<td>None Detected</td>
<td>Growth rate Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harmon Strawn CAP</td>
<td>1&lt;sup&gt;st&lt;/sup&gt; True Leaf</td>
<td>&lt; 1 Thrips per plant</td>
<td>Growth rate Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harmon Horton CAP</td>
<td>6&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; True Leaf</td>
<td>None Detected</td>
<td>Growth rate Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kiowa Anderson TOP GUARD</td>
<td>5&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; True Leaf</td>
<td>None Detected</td>
<td>Growth rate Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackson Darby RACE</td>
<td>5&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; True Leaf</td>
<td>None Detected</td>
<td>Growth rate Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackson IRS OVT</td>
<td>Cotyledon</td>
<td>&lt; 1 Thrips per plant</td>
<td>Growth rate Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackson IRS FACT</td>
<td>Cotyledon</td>
<td>&lt; 1 Thrips per plant</td>
<td>Growth rate Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackson IRS WEEDS</td>
<td>Variable emergence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackson WOSC FACT</td>
<td>Emerging</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tillman McCullough RACE</td>
<td>6&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; True Leaf</td>
<td>None Detected</td>
<td>Growth rate Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tillman Fischer RACE</td>
<td>Planted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tillman Fischer TOP GUARD</td>
<td>Cotyledon</td>
<td>&lt; 1 Thrips per plant</td>
<td>Growth rate Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tillman OSU FACT</td>
<td>Cotyledon</td>
<td>&lt; 1 Thrips per plant</td>
<td>Growth rate Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tillman OSU OVT</td>
<td>Cotyledon</td>
<td>&lt; 1 Thrips per plant</td>
<td>Growth rate Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washita Davis RACE</td>
<td>2&lt;sup&gt;nd&lt;/sup&gt; True Leaf</td>
<td>&lt; 1 Thrips per plant</td>
<td>Growth rate Fair</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Field Summary for the week ending June 25, 2013 (partial list)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Plant stage</th>
<th>Insects</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beckham Damron Race</td>
<td>Matchhead</td>
<td>Few Grasshoppers</td>
<td>Growth rate Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caddo OVT</td>
<td>Matchhead</td>
<td>Few Grasshoppers</td>
<td>Growth rate Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Custer Schantz Innovation First planting</td>
<td>Pinhead to Matchhead</td>
<td>None detected</td>
<td>Growth rate Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Custer Schantz Innovation Second planting</td>
<td>4&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; True Leaf</td>
<td>None detected</td>
<td>Growth rate Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Custer Schantz RACE</td>
<td>Pinhead</td>
<td>None Detected</td>
<td>Growth rate Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Custer Schantz CAP</td>
<td>2&lt;sup&gt;nd&lt;/sup&gt; True Leaf</td>
<td>&lt; 1 Thrips per plant</td>
<td>Growth rate Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackson IRS OVT</td>
<td>2&lt;sup&gt;nd&lt;/sup&gt; True Leaf</td>
<td>&lt; 1 Thrips per plant</td>
<td>Growth rate Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackson IRS FACT</td>
<td>2&lt;sup&gt;nd&lt;/sup&gt; True Leaf</td>
<td>&lt; 1 Thrips per plant</td>
<td>Growth rate Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackson IRS WEEDS</td>
<td>Variable emergence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackson IRS WOSC FACT</td>
<td>Variable emergence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tillman OSU FACT</td>
<td>2&lt;sup&gt;nd&lt;/sup&gt; True Leaf</td>
<td>&lt; 1 Thrips per plant</td>
<td>Growth rate Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tillman OSU OVT</td>
<td>2&lt;sup&gt;nd&lt;/sup&gt; True Leaf</td>
<td>&lt; 1 Thrips per plant</td>
<td>Growth rate Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washita Davis RACE</td>
<td>4&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; True Leaf</td>
<td>&lt; 1 Thrips per plant</td>
<td>Growth rate Fair</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>